

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/910,691	CORNELIUS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael J Feely	1712

All Participants:

(1) Michael J Feely.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Alan D. Miller (Reg. No. 42,889).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 April 2004

Time: 4:45 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

15,21,32,33

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A call was made to propose a few changes to put the claims in condition for allowance. Minor changes to claims 15, 21, 32, and 33 were proposed to satisfy the antecedent basis requirement. Mr. Miller accepted the changes.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)